

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,380	12/21/2001	Ritske Johannes van Leeuwen	Vereenigde P45US1	9273	
75	90 01/31/2005		EXAMINER		
VARNUM, RIDDERING, SCHMIDT & HOWLETT LLP			PUROL, DAVID M		
P.O. BOX 352 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				₩
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
Office Action Summary		10/038,380	VAN LEEUWEN, RITSKE JOHANNES	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAN INC DATE of the	David M Purol	3634	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty (vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timety. 45 from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matter	•	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sur	mmary (PTO 412)	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/038,380

Art Unit: 3634

1. The specification is objected to for it uses inconsistent terminology in association with a specified reference numeral. For example: page 3, line 27 "U-shaped frame section 10" and page 4, line 3 "holder 10"; page 4, line 28 "threshold section 19" and line 29 "frame section 19". Each particular reference numeral is to be used in designating a single specific element.

On page 5, line 1 states that figure 3 shows the pulling beam 9, however, figure 3 does not illustrate the reference numeral 9.

Correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7-9,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Thumann. Thumann discloses a device for sealing including frame sections 58,42,38,114,118 mounted to decorative parts 18,20.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thumann in view of Poppema. While Thumann does not disclose the use of a threshold

Application/Control Number: 10/038,380 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

or an upper frame section, Poppema discloses a device for sealing comprising a threshold 22,40,79 and an upper frame section 24,328,330, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the device for sealing of Thumann for the purpose of maintaining the screen in a predetermined path across the selected opening would have been obvious

4. The applicant argues that the claims have been amended to make it clear that

the frame members are mounted on the opposed side walls that face each other and

are not mounted on the outwardly facing portion of the casing wherein it is urged that

the Thumann reference does not disclose a frame section with a securing edge

mounted to one of the opposing side walls. It is noted that this argument is more

specific than the claims for the claims of the instant application are drawn to the device

for sealing per se and not to the combination of the device for sealing and the casing.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David M Purol

at telephone number 703/308-2168.

to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3634

DMP (703) 308-2168 January 26, 2005